

CONCAVITY OF THE SUPERCRITICAL SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN EQUATION

FAN ZHENYU

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show that the special Lagrangian equation $F(D^2u) = \sum_i \arctan \lambda_i(D^2u) = \Theta$ is concave when $\Theta \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$. This result was first proved by Yuan [Yua06].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let u be a smooth solution to the special Lagrangian equation:

$$(1.1) \quad F(D^2u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \lambda_i(D^2u) = \Theta \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For any direction $e \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we differentiate (1.1) with respect to e , then we get the linearized equation:

$$(1.2) \quad F^{ij}u_{eij} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ where } F^{ij} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{ij}}(D^2u).$$

Denote $\Delta_F = F^{ij}\partial_{ij}$, it is called the linearized operator of F at u . Now (1.2) is equivalent to say that $\Delta_F u_e = 0$. Differentiating (1.2) with respect to e again, we get

$$(1.3) \quad F^{ij}u_{eeij} + F^{ij,kl}u_{eij}u_{ekl} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \text{ where } F^{ij,kl} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u_{ij}\partial u_{kl}}(D^2u).$$

The third order term $F^{ij,kl}u_{eij}u_{ekl}$ is the bad-term. However, if we know the sign of this term, then u_{ee} is the sub-/supersolution to the linearized operator Δ_F . This is crucial in the study of fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

The main result of this note is following:

Theorem 1.1 (Yuan). *The third order term $A := F^{ij,kl}u_{eij}u_{ekl} \leq 0$, when $\Theta \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$.*

Remark 1.2. By symmetry, $A \geq 0$ when $\Theta \leq (2-n)\frac{\pi}{2}$. The phase $|\Theta| = (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$ is called the critical phase.

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.1 means that the Evans-Krylov estimate holds for (1.1) when $|\Theta| \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$.

2. DERIVATIVES OF EIGENVALUES

Let u be a smooth function on Ω , and let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the ordered eigenvalues of D^2u . We shall compute the derivatives of λ_i with respect to the matrix item u_{ij} in this section.

Fix any $p \in \Omega$, by choosing a proper coordinate, we can assume that $D^2u(p)$ is diagonal, i.e. $D^2u(p) = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1(p), \dots, \lambda_n(p)\}$. We also assume that $\lambda_1(p) > \lambda_2(p)$. We only compute $\frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij}}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}}$. The computations of derivatives of other eigenvalues are similar.

By the definition of eigenvalues, we have $0 = \det(D^2u - \lambda_1 I)$ in Ω . Let \mathfrak{S}_n be the group of n -permutations, and let $D^2u - \lambda_1 I = (m_{ab})_{1 \leq a, b \leq n}$, that is $m_{ab} = u_{ab} - \lambda_1 \delta_{ab}$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad 0 = \det(D^2u - \lambda_1 I) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)}.$$

• **1st order derivatives:** differentiating (2.1) with respect to u_{ij} , we get

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial m_{a\sigma(a)}}{\partial u_{ij}} m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{m_{a\sigma(a)}} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)}.$$

Here the $\widehat{}$ means that the term is omitted. Note that (m_{ab}) is diagonal and $m_{11} = 0$ at p . In order to ensure that the term $m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{m_{a\sigma(a)}} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)}$ in the sum does not vanish, we must have $\sigma = Id$ and m_{11} is omitted. Therefore, at p , (2.2) becomes

$$0 = \frac{\partial m_{11}}{\partial u_{ij}} m_{22} \cdots m_{nn} = \frac{\partial(u_{11} - \lambda_1)}{\partial u_{ij}} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1).$$

Hence, we have at p ,

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial(u_{11} - \lambda_1)}{\partial u_{ij}} = 0, \implies \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij}} = \frac{\partial u_{11}}{\partial u_{ij}} = \delta_{ij}^{11}.$$

• **2nd order derivatives:** differentiating (2.2) with respect to u_{kl} again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 m_{a\sigma(a)}}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{m_{a\sigma(a)}} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\partial m_{a\sigma(a)}}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial m_{b\sigma(b)}}{\partial u_{kl}} m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{m_{a\sigma(a)}} \cdots \widehat{m_{b\sigma(b)}} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)}. \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

For I , similar as before, we have at p ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.4) \quad I &= \frac{\partial^2 m_{11}}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} m_{22} \cdots m_{nn} = \frac{\partial^2(u_{11} - \lambda_1)}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1) \\ &= -\frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

For II , in order to ensure that the term $m_{1\sigma(1)} \cdots \widehat{m_{a\sigma(a)}} \cdots \widehat{m_{b\sigma(b)}} \cdots m_{n\sigma(n)}$ in the sum does not vanish, we must have $\sigma(k) = k$ for $k \neq a, b$ and m_{11} is omitted. Then σ has only two choices: the identity Id and the swap (ab) . Besides, one of a, b must equal to 1. Therefore, at p ,

$$\begin{aligned} II = 2 \sum_{a>1} \frac{\partial m_{11}}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial m_{aa}}{\partial u_{kl}} m_{22} \cdots \widehat{m_{aa}} \cdots m_{nn} &- \sum_{a>1} \frac{\partial m_{1a}}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial m_{a1}}{\partial u_{kl}} m_{22} \cdots \widehat{m_{aa}} \cdots m_{nn} \\ &- \sum_{a>1} \frac{\partial m_{a1}}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial m_{1a}}{\partial u_{kl}} m_{22} \cdots \widehat{m_{aa}} \cdots m_{nn} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), the first term vanishes at p , then

$$(2.5) \quad II = - \sum_{a>1} \delta_{1i} \delta_{aj} \delta_{ak} \delta_{1l} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)}{\lambda_a - \lambda_1} - \sum_{a>1} \delta_{ai} \delta_{1j} \delta_{1k} \delta_{al} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)}{\lambda_a - \lambda_1}.$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = I + II = - \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1) &- \sum_{a>1} \delta_{1i} \delta_{aj} \delta_{ak} \delta_{1l} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)}{\lambda_a - \lambda_1} \\ &- \sum_{a>1} \delta_{ai} \delta_{1j} \delta_{1k} \delta_{al} \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)}{\lambda_a - \lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_1}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} = \sum_{a>1} (\delta_{1i} \delta_{aj} \delta_{ak} \delta_{1l} + \delta_{ai} \delta_{1j} \delta_{1k} \delta_{al}) \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_a}$$

$$(2.7) \quad = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_a}, & \text{if } i = l = 1, j = k = a \text{ or } i = l = a, j = k = 1; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We summarize the above computations by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let u be a smooth function on Ω , and let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ be the ordered eigenvalues of D^2u . Assume that D^2u is diagonal at p , then*

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_a}{\partial u_{ij}} = \delta_{ij}^{aa} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j = a; \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_a}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}} &= \sum_{b \neq a} (\delta_{ai} \delta_{bj} \delta_{bk} \delta_{al} + \delta_{bi} \delta_{aj} \delta_{ak} \delta_{bl}) \frac{1}{\lambda_a - \lambda_b} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_a - \lambda_b}, & \text{if } i = l = a, j = k = b \text{ or } i = l = b, j = k = a; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We prove Theorem 1.1 pointwisely. Fixed any $p \in \Omega$, we may assume that $D^2u(p)$ is diagonal. We first compute $F^{ij}, F^{ij,kl}$ at p . By Proposition 2.1, at p , we have

$$F^{ij} = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_a} \frac{\partial \lambda_a}{\partial u_{ij}} = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_a^2} \delta_{ij}^{aa} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2}, & i = j \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

$$F^{ij,kl} = \sum_{a,b=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \lambda_a \partial \lambda_b} \frac{\partial \lambda_a}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial \lambda_b}{\partial u_{kl}} + \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda_a} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_a}{\partial u_{ij} \partial u_{kl}}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{-2\lambda_i}{(1+\lambda_i^2)^2}, & i = j = k = l; \\ \frac{-(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_j^2)}, & i = k; j = l; \text{ and } i \neq j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Now the third order term $A = F^{ij,kl} u_{eij} u_{ekl}$ in Theorem 1.1 has the following form at p :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= F^{ij,kl} u_{eij} u_{ekl} = \sum_{i=1}^n F^{ii,ii} u_{eii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} F^{ij,ij} u_{eij}^2 \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} u_{eii}^2 - \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)(1 + \lambda_j^2)} u_{eij}^2 \\ &:= -2A_1 - A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to show that $A_1, A_2 \geq 0$ when $\Theta \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$, where $A_1 = \sum_i \frac{\lambda_i}{(1+\lambda_i^2)^2} u_{eii}^2$ and $A_2 = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{(1+\lambda_i^2)(1+\lambda_j^2)} u_{eij}^2$.

If $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$, the conclusion is obvious, then we assume $\lambda_n < 0$ in the following.

Claim 1. We have $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{n-1} > 0 > \lambda_n$.

Let $\theta_i = \arctan \lambda_i$, by the equation (1.1), we have $\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n = \Theta \geq (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2}$. Note that $\theta_n = \arctan \lambda_n < 0$, if $\theta_{n-1} \leq 0$, then

$$\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n < \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_{n-2} < (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2},$$

which leads a contradiction. #

Claim 2. For any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $i \neq j$, we have $\lambda_i + \lambda_j \geq 0$.

To see this, it suffices to show that $\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n \geq 0$. Since $\theta_{n-1} + \theta_n = \Theta - (\theta_1 + \dots + \theta_{n-2}) > \Theta - (n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} \geq 0$, and $\theta_{n-1} + \theta_n < \theta_{n-1} < \frac{\pi}{2}$, then

$$0 < \tan(\theta_{n-1} + \theta_n) = \frac{\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n}{1 - \lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n}.$$

Since $\lambda_{n-1}\lambda_n < 0$, then we get $\lambda_{n-1} + \lambda_n > 0$. #

From Claim 2, we can easily see that $A_2 \geq 0$. Next, we focus on A_1 . Denote $t_i = u_{eii}$, then

$$A_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{(1 + \lambda_i^2)^2} t_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \tan \theta_i \cos^4 \theta_i.$$

By the linearized equation (1.2), we have

$$0 = F^{ij} u_{eij} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2} u_{eii} = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \cos^2 \theta_i.$$

Then, by the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$t_n^2 \cos^4 \theta_n = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \cos^2 \theta_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^2 \cos^4 \theta_i \tan \theta_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\tan \theta_i} \right).$$

Note that $\tan \theta_n < 0$, then

$$A_1 \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^2 \cos^4 \theta_i \tan \theta_i \right) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\tan \theta_n}{\tan \theta_i} \right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^2 \cos^4 \theta_i \tan \theta_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\tan \theta_n}{\tan \theta_i} \right).$$

In order to prove $A_1 \geq 0$, we only need to show that $\sum_i \frac{1}{\tan \theta_i} \leq 0$. Let $\alpha_i = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i$, then $\sum_i \frac{1}{\tan \theta_i} = \sum_i \tan \alpha_i$.

Claim 3. $\sum_i \tan \alpha_i \leq 0$.

Since we have assumed $\theta_n < 0$, then $(n-2)\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \Theta < \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{n-1} < (n-1)\frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = n\frac{\pi}{2} - \Theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, thus we have

$$(3.1) \quad 0 > \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n) = \frac{\tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) + \tan \alpha_n}{1 - \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) \tan \alpha_n}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have $\theta_i \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\alpha_i \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Since $\theta_n \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0)$, then $\alpha_n \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$. Therefore,

$$0 < \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} = (\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n) - \alpha_n < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

which means $\tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) > 0$. Since $\tan \alpha_n < 0$, by (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \tan \alpha_n + \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) < 0.$$

Note that

$$0 < \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) = \frac{\tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \tan \alpha_{n-1}}{1 - \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) \tan \alpha_{n-1}}.$$

Since $\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then we have $\tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}), \tan \alpha_{n-1} > 0$. Hence $0 < 1 - \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) \tan \alpha_{n-1} < 1$, which means

$$\tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) > \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \tan \alpha_{n-1}.$$

Repeating the above argument, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) &> \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \tan \alpha_{n-1} \\
 &> \tan(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-3}) + \tan \alpha_{n-2} + \tan \alpha_{n-1} \\
 &> \cdots \\
 &> \tan \alpha_1 + \cdots + \tan \alpha_{n-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3), the proof is complete. \square

$$\ln \left(\frac{1+x}{1-x} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1+y}{1-y} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = 100$$

REFERENCES

- [Yua06] Yu Yuan, *Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 5, 1355–1358. MR 2199179